Serial No. 10/612,176 June 29, 2005 Page 19 of 20

## Remarks

In response the Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment issued June 9, 2005, Applicants have changed the status identifier for claims 1 and 18 from "Previously Amended" to "Previously Presented".

Claim 23 has been amended to depend from Claim 1. Applicants submit that this amendment is supported by the claims as originally filed.

Claims 1-18 and 20-29 are in the case. Claims 1-18 are presently active and non-elected method Claims 20-29 have been withdrawn by the Examiner.

Applicants wish to thank the Examiner for her indication that Claims 1-18 are allowable over the art of record.

In regard to Claims 20-29, M.P.E.P. § 821.04 states:

"Where product and process claims drawn to independent and distinct inventions are presented in the same application, applicant may be called upon under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect claims to either the product or process. See MPEP § 806.05(f) and § 806.05(h). The claims to the non-elected invention will be withdrawn from further consideration under 37 CFR 1.142. See MPEP § 809.02(c) and § 821 through § 821.03. However, if applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims which depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined."

On July 22, 2004, the Examiner issued a restriction in the present application. Group I comprises piperidinyl compounds of formula (I) of the present invention. Group IV comprises method Claims 20, 21, 25, and 26; Group V comprises method Claims 22-24, 27, and 28; and Group VI comprises method Claim 29.

Applicants subsequently elected the invention of Group I (with traverse). Accordingly, applicants elected "claims directed to the product".

In Applicants response of February 10, 2005, Applicants amended the claims to correspond to Applicants election of Group I. In the Official Action of May 17, 2005, the Examiner indicated that amended Claims 1-18 are allowable. Accordingly, the elected product claims have "subsequently [been] found allowable".

Serial No. 10/612,176 June 29, 2005 Page 20 of 20

Method Claims 20-29 "depend from" the "allowable product claim[s]" and should therefore be rejoined as provided by MPEP § 821.04.

Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request rejoinder of Claims 20-29.

Respectfully submitted, SCHERING-PLOUGH CORPORATION

Dated: <u>June 29, 2005</u> SCHERING-PLOUGH CORPORATION Patent Department, K-6-1, 1990 2000 Galloping Hill Road

Kenilworth, NJ 07033-0530 Facsimile No.: (908) 298-5388 By:

Name: Thomas A. Blinka

Reg. No.: 44,541 Attorney of Record

Telephone No.: (908) 298-6791